

Improving triple contrastive learning representation boosting for supervised multiclass classification

Athanasios Tsamos¹ and Katja Pinker¹

¹Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

ABSTRACT

In recent years, contrastive learning has gained significant attention as a powerful method for training machine learning models, particularly in the domain of unsupervised learning. The basic premise of contrastive learning is to learn representations of data by contrasting similar and dissimilar pairs, thus enhancing the model's ability to differentiate between various instances. However, its application in supervised multiclass tasks is relatively underexplored, especially when trying to boost the model's performance by leveraging additional contrastive signals. In this article, we explore the concept of Triple Contrastive Learning Representation Boosting (TCLRB), an advanced approach designed to enhance supervised multiclass classification tasks by leveraging three contrasting components. By combining the strengths of contrastive learning and supervised learning, TCLRB offers a novel framework for improving model accuracy, generalization, and representation learning.

KEYWORDS

Triple contrastive learning;
Triplet loss;
Augmentation-level contrast; Model accuracy;
Class level contrast;
Multimodal applications

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 17 January 2025;
Revised 21 February 2025;
Accepted 7 March 2025

Introduction

To better appreciate the value of TCLRB, it's essential first to understand the fundamentals of contrastive learning. In traditional contrastive learning, the goal is to learn useful data representations by contrasting pairs of similar and dissimilar examples. Typically, this is done through pairwise loss functions such as contrastive loss or triplet loss.

This loss function seeks to pull together similar examples (positive pairs) and push apart dissimilar examples (negative pairs). The network learns to embed instances into a space where similar instances are close to each other, and dissimilar instances are farther apart [1]. This loss function works by taking a triplet of examples—an anchor, a positive sample (similar to the anchor), and a negative sample (dissimilar to the anchor) [2]. The model is trained to ensure that the distance between the anchor and positive sample is smaller than the distance between the anchor and negative sample by a margin.

Triple contrastive learning representation boosting

Triple Contrastive Learning Representation Boosting (TCLRB) introduces a new perspective on contrastive learning by incorporating three distinct contrasting signals within a supervised multiclass classification setting [3]. Unlike traditional contrastive methods that focus on pairwise comparisons, TCLRB extends the contrastive approach by considering multiple contrasting signals at once, which allows the model to focus on richer relationships between data points. This involves:

Instance level contrast

Contrast the features of instances within the same class, reinforcing the intra-class compactness of the learned representations. This ensures that instances belonging to the same class are close together in the feature space [4].

Augmentation level contrast

Leverage data augmentations to create multiple views of the

same instance and compare the representations generated from different augmented versions of the same class. This method encourages the model to learn robust and invariant features that generalize better [5].

By combining these three contrasting signals, TCLRB provides a more comprehensive learning framework that captures both the global structure (class-level differences) and local nuances (instance-level and augmentation-level similarities) in the data. This multidimensional contrastive approach is beneficial in supervised multiclass tasks, where the model needs to discern subtle distinctions between classes and generalize well across different instances of the same class [6].

Key components of triple contrastive learning

Class level contrast

In multiclass classification, the model must distinguish between multiple classes, each containing various instances with their own distinct features. The class-level contrast in TCLRB encourages the model to project instances of different classes far apart in the representation space. This helps to maximize the inter-class separability, which is crucial for classification tasks where classes are distinct [7].

Instance level contrast

For effective classification, instances within the same class need to be embedded closely together. The instance-level contrast focuses on pulling together instances belonging to the same class. This contrast reinforces the consistency of features within a class, ensuring that even instances with small variations (such as lighting, viewpoint, or noise) are still clustered together in the representation space [8].

Augmentation level contrast

Data augmentations, such as rotation, scaling, cropping, or colour jittering, are frequently used to improve model

generalization by exposing the model to different versions of the same instance. The augmentation-level contrast in TCLRB uses these augmented views to ensure that the model can correctly map all augmented versions of an instance into similar regions of the feature space, even though the visual appearance may differ [9]. This component helps the model learn invariant features that are robust to changes in the data.

How TCLRB boosts supervised multiclass tasks

The integration of these three contrastive signals brings several advantages to supervised multiclass classification tasks:

Improved feature learning

By considering multiple levels of contrast, TCLRB encourages the model to learn a more structured and discriminative representation of the data. This leads to the extraction of features that are not only class-distinct but also invariant across different instances and augmentations [10]. As a result, the model becomes better at recognizing complex patterns and subtle differences between classes.

Enhanced generalization

The inclusion of augmentation-level contrast ensures that the learned representations are not overfitted to specific instances. By forcing the model to consider various versions of an instance, TCLRB helps prevent overfitting and improves the model's ability to generalize to unseen data [11].

Robust performance

The class-level contrast ensures that the model can effectively distinguish between classes, while the instance-level contrast helps the model recognize the inherent similarities within each class [12]. This combined effect leads to a more robust classification system, as the model is not only capable of differentiating between classes but also of grouping similar instances within each class. The model, therefore, achieves higher accuracy across a wide range of multiclass classification tasks.

Challenges and future directions

While TCLRB offers significant improvements in supervised multiclass classification, several challenges remain:

Computational complexity

The inclusion of three distinct contrastive signals adds computational complexity, particularly in terms of memory usage and training time. Optimizing the contrastive components for efficiency remains an ongoing challenge [13].

Data augmentation

The success of TCLRB heavily depends on the quality of data augmentation. For some tasks, generating meaningful augmentations can be non-trivial, and poor augmentations can negatively affect the performance of the model [14].

Scalability

As the number of classes and instances increases, the effectiveness of contrastive learning may diminish unless the model is scaled appropriately [15]. Ensuring that the model can handle large-scale datasets while maintaining high performance is a key area of research.

Conclusion

Triple Contrastive Learning Representation Boosting (TCLRB) offers a novel approach to supervised multiclass classification by integrating three distinct levels of contrastive signals—class-level, instance-level, and augmentation-level. By combining these elements, TCLRB enhances the model's ability to learn more discriminative and robust representations that improve classification performance, generalization, and invariance across different data variations.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions, which have greatly improved the quality of this manuscript.

References

1. Dahal P. Learning embedding space for clustering from deep representations. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Big Data; 2018. 3747-3755p. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BigData.2018.8622629>
2. Yang S, Zhang Y, Zhao Q, Pu Y, Yang H. Prototype-based support example miner and triplet loss for deep metric learning. *Electronics*. 2023;12(15):3315. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12153315>
3. Audibert A, Gauffre A, Amini MR. Exploring Contrastive Learning for Long-Tailed Multi-Label Text Classification. In Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases; 2024. 245-261p. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-70368-3_15
4. Shi Z, Wang H, Leung CS. Constrained centre loss for convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*. 2021;34(2):1080-1088. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3104392>
5. Botev A, Bauer M, De S. Regularising for invariance to data augmentation improves supervised learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.03304; 2022. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2203.03304>
6. Chen Y, Pan Y, Wang Y, Yao T, Tian X, Mei T. Transferrable contrastive learning for visual domain adaptation. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia; 2021. 3399-3408p. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3474085.3475496>
7. Zhou L, Wang Z, Luo Y, Xiong Z. Separability and compactness network for image recognition and superresolution. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*. 2019;30(11): 3275-3286. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2018.2890550>
8. Deng Z, Zhong Y, Guo S, Huang W. Inscr: Improving instance retrieval with self-supervision. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence; 2022. 516-524p. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v36i1.19930>
9. Li X, Wu Y, Tang C, Fu Y, Zhang L. Improving generalization of convolutional neural network through contrastive augmentation. *Knowl.-Based Syst*. 2023;272:110543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2023.110543>
10. Zhao N, Wu Z, Lau RW, Lin S. Distilling localization for self-supervised representation learning. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence; 2021. 10990-10998p. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v35i12.17312>
11. Karystinos GN, Pados DA. On overfitting, generalization, and randomly expanded training sets. *IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst*. 2000; 11(5):1050-1057. <https://doi.org/10.1109/72.870038>
12. Johnson JM, Khoshgoftaar TM. Survey on deep learning with class imbalance. *J Big Data*. 2019;6(1):1-54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0192-5>
13. Le-Khac PH, Healy G, Smeaton AF. Contrastive representation learning: A framework and review. *Ieee Access*. 2020;8:193907-193934. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3031549>
14. Plompen AJ, Cabellos O, de Saint Jean C, Fleming M, Algora A, Angelone M et al. The joint evaluated fission and fusion nuclear data library, JEFF-3.3. *Eur Phys J A*. 2020;56:1-08. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-020-00141-9>
15. Gao L, Zhang Y, Han J, Callan J. Scaling deep contrastive learning batch size under memory limited setup. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.06983. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2101.06983>